WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	4-819-27 Inspector U	m) (OAM /			
Time:_	7:30 Weather Conditions:	12	Wa	<u> </u>	30	_
		Yes	No	7	Notes	
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.8	<u>:</u> 4)				
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or				•	
	localized settlement observed on the	ľ	1 //	 		
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?			I		
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells		-			
	containing CCR or within the general landfill					
1	operations that represent a potential disruption					
	to ongoing CCR management operations?					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or		-			
	within the general landfill operations that	ŀ	}		-	
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	Ì	/ /			
	the CCR management operations.					
CCRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(<u>(4))</u>	- L	<u> </u>		
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	period? If answer is no, no additional					
_	information required					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		1			
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	landfill access roads?				•	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the					
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe					
	corrective action measures below.				•	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,					
7.0	describe recommended changes below.					
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	•	•			
	complaints received during the reporting					
77	period? If the answer is yes, answer question					
	Were the citizen complaints logged?					
Additiona	Notes:					

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKE LANSING LANDFILL

Date:			-411		
Cime:_	7:15 Weather Conditions: S	- franci	_71	 	
		Yes	No		Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	:			
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	Í		1	•
	localized settlement observed on the	ŀ			
_	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		ا ا	ti	
	CCR?			1	
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill				
	operations that represent a potential disruption		1	i	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	:			
	within the general landfill operations that	:			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			1	
	the CCR management operations.				
CR F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	4))		<u></u>	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting				
	period? If answer is no, no additional				
	information required.		~		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		•		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR				
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to	j			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not	Ì			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
7-	landfill access roads?				•
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the	·			
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe	1		•	
	corrective action measures below.		ļ		-
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,				
	describe recommended changes below.				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	complaints received during the reporting				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question		1		
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				
<u> </u>	" or the citizen compisints logged?				

Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:	Inspector:	A C	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	•		
Cime:	Weather Conditions: Cold Z					
		. Yes	No	Notes		
CRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	: 1)				
l.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or					
	localized settlement observed on the	ĺ		.]		
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		1/]1		
2.	CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells		ļ			
2.	containing CCR or within the general landfill					
	operations that represent a potential disruption		i/			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or		 			
	within the general landfill operations that	į				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		,			
	the CCR management operations.					
(m) T			<u> </u>			
	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	1))				
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting					
	period? If answer is no, no additional					
	information required.			·		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust					
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?					
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not		1			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			-		
	landfill access roads?					
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			-		
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	1				
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	ŀ	1			
	describe recommended changes below.	ŀ	1			
10.						
	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	.	· T			
	complaints received during the reporting	-				
11.	period? If the answer is yes, answer question Were the citizen complaints logged?					
	I TO SEE LIE CLUZEN COMPLAINTS (Occord?)	- 1				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx